

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3
4 Michael J. Coffey,

5 Plaintiff

6 v.

7 U.S. Federal Government, et al.,

8 Defendants
9

Case No.: 2:23-cv-01739-JAD-NJK

Order Adopting Report & Recommendation
and Dismissing Action

[ECF No. 3]

10
11 On October 20, 2023, the magistrate judge recommended that plaintiff Michael J.
12 Coffey's complaint be dismissed with prejudice because of "the delusional factual scenario and
13 nonexistent legal interest at issue."¹ Coffey had fourteen days to object to that recommendation
14 but he neither filed objections nor moved to extend the deadline to do so. "[N]o review is
15 required of a magistrate judge's report and recommendation unless objections are filed."²
16 Having reviewed the R&R, I find good cause to adopt it, and I do.

17 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the magistrate judge's report and recommendation
18 [ECF No. 3] is **ADOPTED** in its entirety. This action is **DISMISSED** with prejudice, and **the**
19 **Clerk of Court is directed to CLOSE THIS CASE.**

20
21 
22 U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey
December 5, 2023

23
24
25
26
27 ¹ ECF No. 3 at 2.

28 ² *Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003); *see also Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003).